

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,152	07/19/2001	Max P. McDaniel	33633US1	1935	
75	90 06/05/2002				
Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LP			EXAMINER		
Room 3447 1301 McKinney		RABAGO, ROBERTO			
Houston, TX	77010	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1713	2	
•			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		_		Det.				
•		Application N	D	Applicant(s)	140				
		09/909,152		MCDANIEL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Rob Rábago		1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b	)⊠ This action is non	-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 26-49</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>46-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□									
6)⊠	<u> </u>								
7)									
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 26-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmer	t(s)								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) 5) per No(s) 6)	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-					
U.S. Patent and	rademark Office		,						

Application/Control Number: 09/909,152

Art Unit: 1713

h

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 8 and 26-45, drawn to polymerization processes, classified in class526, subclass 160.
  - II. Claims 46-49, drawn to polymers, classified in class 526, subclass 348.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made using additional activators, such as aluminoxane or borate compounds.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Polly Owen on 9/19/2001, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group 1, claims 8 and 26-45. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 46-49 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,152

**Art Unit: 1713** 

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

No copies of the two literature references cited on page 1 of the IDS filed 7/19/2001 can be found within the application papers, nor can they be found in the parent application. Applicants are requested to provide copies of these references so that they may be considered in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/909,152

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 8 and 26-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The definition for OMC-I as recited in the specification includes exemplary species, and therefore the intended scope is indefinite.

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 8 and 26-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,316,553. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims of virtually identical scope have been claimed. Besides minor variations in wording and the scope of certain Markush groups, the only difference is that the instant claims set forth in claim 8 the substantial absence of aluminoxanes and organoborates. However, since there is no mention in

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/909,152

Art Unit: 1713

the patented claims of including such cocatalysts, the instant claims are not patentably distinct from those already patented.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rob Rábago whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4347. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 3:00 pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for

regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Rob Rábago Examiner Art Unit 1713

RR June 3, 2002

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700